



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspico.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/587,446	09/587,446 06/05/2000		James R. Cole	10003006-1	8307	
22879	7590	05/20/2002				
		RD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
INTELLEC	rual pro	FE. HARMONY FOR THE PROPERTY ADMINI	PATEL, NITIN			
FORT COL	LINS, CO	80527-2400		ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER	
				2673		
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
	_	09/587,446		COLE ET AL.	γ					
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Nitin Patel		2673						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on \underline{o}	<u>5 June 2000</u> .								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is no	n-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
•	Disposition of Claims									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application		de antiem							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-20</u> is/are rejected.									
, —	Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers										
· —	The specification is objected to by the Exami									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume									
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume									
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachmer										
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT						
LS Patent and	Frademark Office				of Dames No. 2					

, Application/Control Number: 09/587,446

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1,7,10,11,6,17,18-20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tognoni (U.S. Patent No. 6,129,444).

As per claims 1,7,10,11 Tognoni shows a liquid crystal display panel having a variable white balance (In Abstract):

a first light source having a first color spectrum (element 12and In Col.3 lines 43-45) and a second light source having a second color spectrum (in Col.3 lines 57-59); an optical path directing first and second light source onto LCD screen (In Col. 3 lines 50-56) and a control circuit for adjusting the relative intensity of first and second light source wherein first light source and second light source are mixed in the optical path

Application/Control Number: 09/587,446

Art Unit: 2673

crating a white balanced spectrum (In Col.3 lines 57-67 to Col.4 lines 1-5 and In Col.5 lines 40-60).

As per claim 6,17 Tognoni shows a LCD panel having first and second light source intensity varied by power duty cycle (In Fig.2A and In Col.5 lines 1-10).

As per claims 18-20 Tognoni shows a method for adjusting white balance on the display (In Abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-5,8,12,13,14,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tognoni (U.S. Patent No. 6,129,444) in view of Evanicky (U.S. Patent No. 6,366,270) in view of Sylvester et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,160,596).

As per claim 2-5,12,13,14,15,16 Tognoni shows having two light source (element 12 and 13).

Tognoni does not specifically shows having a CCFL tubes. Evanicky shows having two CCFL (In Col.10 lines 32-45) and Sylvester shows a light emitting diodes structure (In Col.6 lines 59-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made to allow the teaching of CCFL and LED into the system of Tognoni's because it would have provided a uniform backlight in different colors.

Application/Control Number: 09/587,446

Art Unit: 2673

As per claim 8, Tognoni does not show a memory holding a desired white balance, Evanicky shows a memory (In Fig.14 element 540and 530). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention was made to allow the teaching of memory of Evanicky's into the system of Tognoni's because it would have hold data for white luminance for desired value in the memory for display uniformly.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Patel whose telephone number is 703-308-7024. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin H Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9052 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9618.

. Application/Control Number: 09/587,446

Art Unit: 2673

NP

May 12, 2002

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER